

# The Atlantic elements in the Swiss flora: distribution, diversity, and conservation status

Gregor Kozłowski · Sandra Bürcher · Matthieu Fleury · Fanny Huber

Received: 12 August 2008 / Accepted: 14 November 2008 / Published online: 3 December 2008  
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2008

**Abstract** The purpose of this study was to examine the composition, distribution, ecology, and conservation status of the Atlantic elements of the Swiss flora. About 195 Atlantic and 80 Mediterranean–Atlantic vascular plant species of the European flora have been used as the basis for our analysis. The complete list of 3,143 taxa has been used as the reference for the Swiss flora. The distributions of the species are illustrated in coincidence maps based on the computer database of the Data Centre of the Swiss Flora in Geneva, Switzerland. Our study demonstrates clearly that the Atlantic flora of Europe requires a new biogeographical appraisal. The Swiss flora comprises 66 Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic taxa, which are taxonomically and ecologically highly diverse. Switzerland contains 44% of all European Sub-Atlantic plants. This confirms the Sub-Atlantic geographical position of Switzerland. Only one Eu-Atlantic species growing in Switzerland, *Vicia orobus*, can be classified as native with certainty. This species is critically endangered and merits the highest conservation priority. Although a very alpine country, Switzerland has a relatively large number of Mediterranean–Atlantic species. The Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic plants are a very threatened group in Switzerland, with wetland plants the most imperilled ecological group.

**Keywords** Biogeographical regions · Floristic elements · Linnean shortfall · Peripheral populations · Range margin · Red list · Switzerland · Wallacean shortfall

---

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:[10.1007/s10531-008-9531-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-008-9531-0)) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

---

G. Kozłowski (✉) · M. Fleury  
Department of Biology and Botanic Garden, University of Fribourg, Ch. du Musée 10,  
CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland  
e-mail: gregor.kozłowski@unifr.ch


S. Bürcher · M. Fleury · F. Huber  
Department of Geosciences—Geography, University of Fribourg, Ch. du Musée 4, CH-1700 Fribourg,  
Switzerland

## Introduction

One of the most important tasks of conservation biogeography is the identification and delimitation of floristic regions (areas characterized by similar flora) and floristic elements (assemblies of species with similar distributions) (Born et al. 2007; Finnie et al. 2007). The Atlantic floristic region of Europe has a long history of exploration. Notions of its distinctiveness can be found as early as the beginning of the nineteenth century (e.g., de Candolle 1808). However, its exact boundaries, like those of any biogeographical region, cannot be drawn with absolute precision (Meusel et al. 1965; Jäger 1968; Hopkins and Buck 1995; Born et al. 2007). Thus, the maps and descriptive delimitations of the Atlantic region in Europe differ significantly (e.g., Drude 1892; Flahault 1901; Braun-Blanquet 1928; Walter 1954; Meusel et al. 1965; Jäger 1968, 1970; Takhtajan 1986; Dahl 1998). Nowadays, the European Environmental Agency's map of its biogeographical regions is widely used (EEA 2005; Fig. 1). It divides the European continent into 12 regions and was created based on a map of the natural vegetation of Europe (ETCBD 2006; Finnie et al. 2007). The Atlantic region in Europe is not a homogeneous unit. Hopkins and Buck (1995) divided it into two sectors with distinct topographies and land-use histories: (1) the North European Plain (west Jutland, The Netherlands, North Germany, Flanders/Brussels, north-east France, south-east England), with relatively flat land surfaces rarely reaching elevations above 300 m a.s.l.; and (2) the "Celtic Fringe" (Ireland, Wales, Scotland, north and west England, Brittany, Landes in France, Galicia in Spain, and northern Portugal), with a more ragged topography, with true local alpine vegetation at 2,000 m a.s.l. and above (Duckworth et al. 2000). A much more detailed division of the Atlantic region, based on its vegetation and floristic characteristics, can be found in Meusel et al. (1965). In other biogeographical regions of Europe and in the Mediterranean, there are also many isolated areas with Atlantic characteristics. East of the Massif Centrale in France (Hopkins and Buck 1995), Lusatia in eastern Germany (Krausch 1985), and Kroumirie in Tunisia (Braun-Blanquet 1953) can be considered exclaves of the Atlantic/Oceanic conditions. The most distinctive feature of the Atlantic region in Europe is its climate, influenced by air masses originating over the ocean, as well as by the warm waters of the Gulf Stream (Hopkins and Buck 1995). These circumstances result in less extreme temperatures and higher levels of precipitation than those of continental Europe. The Atlantic region is thus characterized by mild wet winters and cool moist summers (Duckworth et al. 2000).

The listing of vascular plants belonging to the Atlantic floristic element in Europe has a very long history (e.g., Braun-Blanquet 1923; Allorge 1924; Steffen 1935; Matthews 1937; des Abbayes 1945; Schmid 1945; Fournier 1946; Walter 1954; Darimont et al. 1962; Dupont 1962; Roisin 1969). However, the numbers of taxa listed in these works vary between 50 (Walter 1954) and more than 300 (Dupont 1962). Many authors, especially in central Europe, refer to "Atlantic" taxa as those that have their maximum occurrence frequency in Atlantic Europe, without taking into account their global distributions (e.g., Czechtz 1926; Krausch 1985; Pietsch 1985; Preston and Hill 1997). The unclear taxonomic divisions of many genera of European plants are responsible for this discrepancy. The most rigorous and thus the most biogeographically correct are the lists of Dupont (1962) and Roisin (1969).

Roisin (1969) divides the Atlantic floristic element of Europe into three subelements: (1) the Eu-Atlantic species, with a distribution restricted to the Atlantic biogeographical region and with only a few occurrences (if any) outside it; (2) the Sub-Atlantic species, with its maximum frequency within the Atlantic region, but also present in continental Europe and the Mediterranean; and (3) the Eury-Atlantic species, with their distribution



**Fig. 1** Atlantic biogeographical region of Europe. *Gray area* delimitation of the Atlantic region of the European Environment Agency (EEA 2005). *Black lines* delimit the Atlantic (Atl.) and Sub-Atlantic (Subatl.) zones in Europe, according to Preston and Hill (1997). *CH*: Switzerland

restricted to the Atlantic regions of both Europe and North America. Roisin (1969) also listed 80 species with a Mediterranean–Atlantic distribution. This is a very problematic group, which has not yet been studied in a comprehensive manner. The historical biogeography of this group is not well understood (Jäger 1970; Rivas-Martinez 1990; Ojeda et al. 1998; Preston and Hill 1997; Preston and Arnold 2006). Moreover, most of these plants occur in North Africa and/or in the Near East, outside the geographical territory of the Flora Europaea (Tutin et al. 1993). The detailed biogeographical analysis of this group is therefore very difficult.

Recent works on the Atlantic biogeographical region and its flora are extremely rare and mainly concentrate on small geographic areas and/or a chosen taxonomic unit (e.g., Ojeda et al. 1998; Crawford 2000; Duckworth et al. 2000; Preston and Hill 1997; Preston and Arnold 2006). The majority of the Atlantic elements are west European and/or Mediterranean endemics (Dupont 1962; Cook 1983). Some of them have been shown to have existed in Europe for several million years (e.g., paleoendemic *Baldellia ranunculoides*, Kozłowski et al. 2008). Our inadequate biogeographical knowledge of this plant group might have dramatic negative consequences for priorities and conservation efforts in the near future.

The purpose of this study was to examine the distribution, taxonomic and ecological diversity, and conservation status of the Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic elements in

the Swiss flora, an appraisal not previously undertaken. This paper should serve as a trigger for more intensive future biogeographical and conservation studies of the Atlantic region and its unique flora in Europe and the Mediterranean.

## Materials and methods

The list of Roisin (1969), containing 195 Atlantic and 80 Mediterranean–Atlantic vascular plant species of the European flora, was used as the basis for our analysis. Roisin's study is a critical compilation of all the important previous works (e.g., Braun-Blanquet 1923; Allorge 1924; Steffen 1935; des Abbayes 1945; Fournier 1946; Walter 1954; Darimont et al. 1962; Dupont 1962). The taxonomic nomenclature of Roisin (1969) was adapted according to the taxonomic database of Flora Europaea at the Royal Botanic Garden of Edinburgh, UK (<http://rbg-web2.rbge.org.uk>; see also Appendix S1 in Supplementary Material). For the Swiss flora, the red list of vascular plants (Moser et al. 2002), enumerating 3,143 angiosperms and pteridophytes, has been used as a reference. Only native and naturalized plants of European origin (i.e., European species occurring in Switzerland since the sixteenth century) have been used for the analysis. Neophytes from other continents have been excluded. For Switzerland, the Synonymy Index of the Swiss Flora (ISFS 2005) was also used for floral nomenclature and family affinities.

The distributions of the species are illustrated in coincidence maps showing the proportion of each sub-element in grid squares. The maps are based on the computer database managed by the Data Centre of the Swiss Flora (CRSF) in Geneva, Switzerland (<http://www.ville-ge.ch/cjb/rsf>). The Centre holds all known vascular plants that occur in Swiss territory, based on (1) recent observations by the Centre (1982–2008, symbolized with black squares in the distribution maps), and (2) historical literature and/or herbarium data from the atlas of Welten and Sutter (1982) (symbolized with black dots). Each grid square represents  $4 \times 4 \text{ km}^2$ . The division into ecological groups and into the red list categories followed Moser et al. (2002). The red list categories are those of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN 2001). Life forms follow the divisions of Raunkiaer (1934) and have been attributed to the Swiss flora by Landolt (1977).

## Results

### Switzerland and the Atlantic flora of Europe

Of the 195 Atlantic taxa described by Roisin, 49% (95 taxa) belong to the Eu-Atlantic group, 45% (88 taxa) to the Sub-Atlantic group, and only 6% (12 taxa) to the Eury-Atlantic group (Table 1, Appendix S1). The Swiss flora includes 44 Atlantic plant species (Table 1, Appendix 1). Four of these species belong to the Eu-Atlantic subelement (4% of the 95 Eu-Atlantic taxa of Europe). In Switzerland, there are a further 39 Sub-Atlantic species (44% of the 88 European species in this group) and only one species from the Eury-Atlantic subelement. Of the 80 Mediterranean–Atlantic taxa of the European flora, 22 grow in Switzerland (27%) (Table 1, Appendices 1 and S1). The Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic species present in Switzerland constitute 24% of the 275 European taxa of all the categories listed by Roisin (1969). Several other species from the list of Roisin have been observed in Switzerland. However, they are nonnative, not naturalized, having mainly

**Table 1** Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic elements in the European and Swiss flora (for more details, see Appendices 1 and S1)

Region	Eu-Atlantic spp.	Sub-Atlantic spp.	Eury-Atlantic spp.	Mediterranean–Atlantic spp.	Total spp.
Europe	95	88	12	80	275
Switzerland	4	39	1	22	66

escaped from cultivation, and are thus not included in the present analysis. This is the case for three Eu-Atlantic taxa: *Hyacinthoides non-scripta*, *Saxifraga hirsuta*, and *Ulex europaeus*, as well as one Sub-Atlantic species, *Saxifraga hypnoides*, and one Mediterranean–Atlantic species, *Alopecurus rendlei*. Three more of Roisin’s species of doubtful status in Switzerland (probably introduced) have also been excluded: *Scutellaria minor* (Sub-Atlantic), *Lagurus ovatus*, and *Trifolium subterraneum* (the two latter are Mediterranean–Atlantic).

### Taxonomic diversity


The Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic species of the Swiss flora belong to 38 families and 57 genera (Appendix 1). The majority of families (37%) comprise only one member, and only seven families have more than two species: Scrophulariaceae *sensu lato* (six species), Liliaceae (four species), Asteraceae (four species), Fabaceae (four species), Cyperaceae (three species), Hypericaceae (three species), and Primulaceae (three species). Among all genera only eight (14%) have more than one member: *Hypericum* has three species and the following genera two species each: *Asplenium*, *Carex*, *Erica*, *Helleborus*, *Linaria*, *Orobanche*, and *Polygala*.

### Distributions

Figure 2 shows the distributions of the Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic plants in Switzerland. Plants of the Eu-Atlantic subelement have a very scattered distribution pattern (Fig. 2a) and have been observed mainly in lowland sites on the Swiss Plateau and in southern Tessin (to ca. 600 m a.s.l.). The only exceptions occur in the Jura Mountains at about 1,200 m a.s.l. (*Vicia orobus* populations). The distribution of the Sub-Atlantic plants is shown in Fig. 2b. This group is widely distributed in Switzerland and is absent only from the higher alpine regions. The only Eury-Atlantic taxon in Switzerland, *Rhynchospora fusca*, has been mainly reported from the eastern Swiss Plateau and from Tessin (Fig. 2c). The Mediterranean–Atlantic subelement has its highest concentrations in the cantons of Geneva, Valais and Tessin, as well as in the surroundings of Basel and Neuchâtel (Fig. 2d).

### Ecological diversity

Tables 2 and 3 show the ecological diversity of the investigated species. The majority of Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic taxa (taken together) are woodland species (39%), followed by wetland (24%), pioneer (14%), and weed/ruderal plants (9%). The aquatics (two species), orophytes (three species), and plants of low-nutrient meadows (four species) are very poorly represented (Table 2). There are no nutrient-rich meadow plants in the Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic elements of the Swiss flora. When the subelements of



**Fig. 2** Coincidence distribution maps of the Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic plants in Switzerland. **a** Eu-Atlantic vascular plant species (*Erica vagans*, *E. tetralix*, *Meconopsis cambrica*, and *Vicia orobus*); **b** 39 Sub-Atlantic species; **c** *Rhynchospora fusca*, the only representative of the Eury–Atlantic subelement; **d** 22 Mediterranean–Atlantic species. Recent data: observations of the Data Centre of the Swiss Flora between 1982 and 2008. Historical data: literature and/or herbarium data collected before 1982. For more details, see “Materials and methods”

**Table 2** Ecological groups of the Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic elements in the Swiss flora

Ecological group	Eu-Atlantic spp. (%)	Sub-Atlantic spp. (%)	Eury-atlantic spp. (%)	Mediterranean–Atlantic spp. (%)	Total spp. (%)	All Swiss spp. (%)
1	3 (75)	15 (38)	–	8 (36)	26 (39)	18
2	–	3 (8)	–	–	3 (5)	22
3	–	3 (8)	–	6 (28)	9 (14)	6
4	–	1 (2.5)	–	1 (5)	2 (3)	4
5	1 (25)	11 (28)	1 (100)	3 (13)	16 (24)	11
6	–	1 (2.5)	–	3 (13)	4 (6)	13
7	–	5 (13)	–	1 (5)	6 (9)	24
8	–	–	–	–	–	2
Total	4 (100)	39 (100)	1 (100)	22 (100)	66 (100)	100

Symbols: 1, woodland plants; 2, orophytes; 3, low-altitude pioneers; 4, aquatic plants; 5, wetland plants; 6, plants of low-nutrient meadows; 7, weeds or ruderals; 8, plants of nutrient-rich meadows. For more details, see “Materials and methods” and Appendix 1

the Atlantic element are analyzed separately, the domination of the woodland plants and wetland plants is maintained. The only exception is the Mediterranean–Atlantic subelement, in which the pioneer plants of the lowlands take second place.

**Table 3** Life forms of the Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic elements in the Swiss flora

Life forms	Eu-Atlantic spp. (%)	Sub-Atlantic spp. (%)	Eury-Atlantic spp. (%)	Mediterranean–Atlantic spp. (%)	Total spp. (%)	All Swiss spp. (%)
Ph	1 (25)	3 (8)	–	3 (14)	7 (11)	8
Ch	1 (25)	8 (21)	–	2 (9)	11 (17)	10
H	2 (50)	15 (38)	1 (100)	5 (23)	23 (35)	41
Geo	–	5 (13)	–	8 (36)	13 (20)	15
Hyd	–	2 (5)	–	–	2 (3)	2
Th	–	2 (5)	–	3 (14)	5 (7)	14
Th/H	–	4 (10)	–	1 (4)	5 (7)	10
Total	4 (100)	39 (100)	1 (100)	22 (100)	66 (100)	100

*Ph* phanerophytes, *Ch* chamaephytes, *H* hemicryptophytes, *Geo* geophytes, *Hyd* hydrophytes, *Th* therophytes. For more details, see “Materials and methods” and Appendix 1

The majority of the Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic species (taken together) are hemicryptophytes (35%), followed by geophytes (20%), chamaephytes (17%), and phanerophytes (11%) (Table 3). Poorly represented are the therophytes (five species), thermo/hydrophytes (five species), and hydrophytes (two species). In the Mediterranean–Atlantic subelement, the geophytes are the best represented category (eight species, 36%), and there are no hydrophytes in this group.

#### Conservation status

Table 4 shows the conservation status of the investigated species in Switzerland expressed in the IUCN red list categories. More than 56% of the Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic species are so-called “red list species” (categories RE, CR, EN, VU): 23% are critically endangered (CR), 15% are endangered (EN), and 15% are vulnerable (VU). Two species are regionally extinct (RE). Near threatened (NT) and least concerned (LC) species represent 43%. There are no data available for one species (DD) (see also Appendix 1).

**Table 4** Red list categories of the Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic elements in the Swiss flora

RL cat	Eu-Atlantic spp. (%)	Sub-Atlantic spp. (%)	Eury-Atlantic spp. (%)	Mediterranean–Atlantic spp. (%)	Total spp. (%)	All Swiss spp. (%)
RE	–	1 (2.5)	–	1 (5)	2 (3)	2
CR	2 (50)	9 (23)	–	4 (18)	15 (23)	5
EN	1 (25)	5 (13)	1 (100)	3 (14)	10 (15)	10
VU	1 (25)	5 (13)	–	4 (18)	10 (15)	14
NT	–	9 (23)	–	6 (27)	15 (23)	14
LC	–	9 (23)	–	4 (18)	13 (20)	49
DD	–	1 (2.5)	–	–	1 (1)	6
Total	4 (100)	39 (100)	1 (100)	22 (100)	66 (100)	100
RL-species	4 (100)	20 (51)	1 (100)	12 (55)	37 (56)	32

For more details, see “Materials and methods” and Appendix 1

## Discussion

The allocation of species to floristic elements is a very complex process and there is still no consensus in the choice of the best criteria for such chorological divisions and delimitations (Schmid 1945; Preston and Hill 1997; Born et al. 2007). As a result, no authors or works to date have assigned all the European plants to floristic elements. Preston and Hill (1997) stated that grouping species into floristic elements by the visual inspection of maps or distributional data should be replaced completely by computational methods. However, synthesized and digitalized distributional data for the overwhelming majority of European vascular plants (ca. 80%) are still unavailable (Finnie et al. 2007). This embarrassing situation has resulted mainly from the so-called “Wallacean shortfall”, so named by Lomolino and Heaney (2004), which refers to our inadequate knowledge about the distribution of a given taxon (Whittaker et al. 2005; Kozłowski 2008). The list of Roisin (1969) suffers inevitably from the “Wallacean shortfall”. An example is the listing of *Isoetes histrix* as Sub-Atlantic, the species is now known, however, from many more Mediterranean sites (thus it is probably a Mediterranean–Atlantic taxon).

The list of Roisin (1969) and all the other lists published so far also suffer from the “Linnean shortfall”, and almost certainly underestimate the total number of species in the Atlantic floristic element. The Linnean shortfall refers to our extremely limited knowledge of the overall species number, not only at the global level but also at the continental and local levels (Brown and Lomolino 1998). For example, Roisin (1969) omitted from his list all endemic species found in the United Kingdom, doubting their taxonomic status, as well as all species of critical genera such as *Sorbus*, *Hieracium*, *Rubus*, and *Taraxacum* (Appendix S1). The Linnean shortfall explains also why even hybrid taxa can be found in the Swiss Atlantic species list (e.g. *Hypericum* × *desetangsi* treated by Roisin as a species *H. desetangsi*, see Appendix S1). Furthermore, the Roisin list of Atlantic plant species and all the other lists published so far are taking into account almost exclusively their distributions (chorology). Schmid (1945) and Jäger (1968, 1970) proposed that biogeographers should join in a natural floristic element not only species of the same distribution but also of the same ecology, the same growing rhythm and perhaps of the same origin. This complex approach is, however, highly controversial.

As a consequence of the deficiencies mentioned, new appraisal and a comprehensive statement of the conservation status of all Atlantic plant species in Europe are currently lacking. The Atlantic plant list of Roisin (1969) (see Appendix S1), although created by inspecting maps, herbaria, and literature data, is the most complete and the most recently published list (although it is almost 40 years old!). Furthermore, because of its methodology and scientific rigor, the Roisin list is the only biogeographically complete and thus internationally accepted list (Hopkins and Buck 1995). There are some examples of more recent lists, but they do not cover the entire European flora (e.g., Preston and Hill 1997). Several other lists and data banks do not take into account the global distributions of the listed species (e.g., [www.floraweb.de](http://www.floraweb.de) for Germany).

The Swiss flora comprises 66 Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic taxa, which represent barely 2% of all vascular plants growing in Switzerland. They are taxonomically and ecologically highly diverse. Only four species growing in Switzerland belong to the Eu-Atlantic subelement (4% of all Eu-Atlantic European plants). Among them, only *Vicia orobus* can be classified with certainty as a native element of the Swiss flora. With only one known population (Jura, Les Verrières) and ca. 50–100 individuals, it is currently critically endangered in Switzerland. *Erica vagans* is known from only one population growing close to Geneva (Bois de Jussy, next to Présinge, not confirmed in recent years), but it is



thought to be introduced there (Hegi 1975). Two further Eu-Atlantic species are relatively new to the Swiss flora: *Erica tetralix* was probably introduced after World War I in Mettelmoos next to Lucerne and later also in 1–2 peat-bog complexes (Hess et al. 1980); and *Meconopsis cambrica* has been found at several sites across the Swiss Plateau and Tessin in recent years, probably having escaped from cultivation.

Our study confirms the Sub-Atlantic phytogeographic position of Switzerland shown in Fig. 1. The Sub-Atlantic group is represented in Switzerland by 44% of all European plants of this subelement. This is also supported by the relatively dense occurrences of these plants in all lowland regions across the Swiss Plateau and Tessin (Fig. 2b). Although a very alpine country, Switzerland has a high percentage of Mediterranean–Atlantic species (27% of all the European species in this group). This phenomenon can be explained by the proximity of southern Switzerland to the Mediterranean region and is emphasized in Fig. 2d, showing the higher concentrations of these plants in Tessin, around Lake Geneva, and in very dry, warm Valais. The higher concentrations of Mediterranean–Atlantic species around Zürich and at the exit of the Gotthard tunnel might be explained by the predominance of disturbed human habitats, suitable for warmth-preferring and pioneer plants.

The Atlantic element of the European flora is mainly composed of littoral and heathland plants (43% of all 195 taxa; Appendix S1), and therefore their growth habitats are almost completely lacking in Switzerland. In the Swiss flora, the dominant ecological groups are orophytes and plants related to agriculture and dense settlements, such as weeds and ruderals (Moser et al. 2002; Table 2). In contrast, the Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic species mainly grow in Switzerland in woodlands and wetlands (63% of all 66 taxa). The life form distributions shown in Table 3 support these data. The phanerophytes and chamaephytes together constitute 27% of all the Atlantic/Mediterranean–Atlantic taxa in Switzerland.

The Atlantic/Mediterranean–Atlantic element occurring in Switzerland is a very threatened plant group (56% of these species are red list species, Table 4). Two species are regionally extinct: *Isoetes echinospora* (Sub-Atlantic wetland plant) and *Filago gallica* (Mediterranean–Atlantic weedy species). The most imperilled ecological group is the wetland plants. Many of them are critically endangered (CR) in Switzerland and are on the brink of extinction, e.g., *Apium repens*, *Elatine hexandra*, *Galium saxatile*, *Pilularia globulifera*, and *Scrophularia auriculata* (Appendix 1). In contrast, the woodland species are less endangered. In fact, many of them (especially the Sub-Atlantic taxa, but also several Mediterranean–Atlantic taxa) are widespread inhabitants of Swiss forests. The only exception is the Eu-Atlantic group, among which *Vicia orobus* merits the highest conservation priority.

The study by Preston (2007) shows a similar situation for the British Isles, where in contrast, plants with eastern ranges are a particularly threatened group. How much importance should be attached, however, to the conservation of edge-of-range populations, especially for species with greater frequency elsewhere? It is supposed that plant populations adapted for life at a margin will prove to be pre-adapted to withstand the alterations that may be brought about, e.g., by a climate change (Crawford 2008). Furthermore, analyses of range collapse reveals that the last existing populations of many species were located along the periphery and not in the center of their historic range (Lomolino and Channell 1995, 1998). Thus, peripheral sites in Switzerland may represent in the future critical refugia for many endangered Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic plant species.

## Conclusions

Our study clearly demonstrates that the Atlantic flora of Europe requires a new biogeographical appraisal. The most comprehensive list is now 40 years old (Roisin 1969) and due to taxonomic changes and advances in chorological knowledge it is inevitably outdated. The Swiss flora comprises 66 Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic taxa, which are taxonomically highly diverse. Switzerland contains 44% of all European Sub-Atlantic plants, confirming the Sub-Atlantic geographical position of Switzerland. Only one Eu-Atlantic species growing in Switzerland, *Vicia orobus*, can be classified with certainty as native. This species is critically endangered and merits the highest conservation priority. Although a very alpine country, Switzerland has a relatively large number of Mediterranean–Atlantic species. The Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic plants are a very threatened group in Switzerland, with wetland plants the most imperilled ecological group.

**Acknowledgments** We would like to thank Beat Bäumler and his group from the Data Centre of the Swiss Flora (CRSF, Geneva) for the preparation of the distribution maps. We wish to thank Benoît Clément and Susanne Bollinger from the Botanical Garden of the University of Fribourg (Switzerland) for their assistance during the manuscript preparation. We are also indebted to the Franklinia Foundation for its engagement and valuable support.

## Appendix 1

The list and its division follows Roisin (1969) and is based on the works of Braun-Blanquet (1924), Steffen (1935), des Abbayes (1945), Fournier (1946), Walter (1954), des Darimont et al. (1962), and Dupont (1962). RL, IUCN red list categories (Moser et al. 2002). See Table 5.

**Table 5** Atlantic and Mediterranean–Atlantic elements in the Swiss flora

Taxon	Family	RL	EG	LF
Eu-Atlantic (4 spp.)				
<i>Erica vagans</i> L.	Ericaceae	CR	1	Ph
<i>Erica tetralix</i> L.*	Ericaceae	EN	5	Ch
<i>Meconopsis cambrica</i> (L.) Vig.*	Papaveraceae	VU	1	H
<i>Vicia orobus</i> DC.	Fabaceae	CR	1	H
Sub-Atlantic (39 spp.)				
<i>Aira praecox</i> L.	Poaceae	DD	7	Th
<i>Anagallis tenella</i> (L.) L.	Primulaceae	CR	5	Th
<i>Apium repens</i> (Jacq.) Lag.	Apiaceae	CR	5	H
<i>Carduus tenuiflorus</i> Curtis*	Asteraceae	CR	7	Th/H
<i>Carex strigosa</i> Huds.	Cyperaceae	NT	1	H
<i>Chrysosplenium oppositifolium</i> L.	Saxifragaceae	NT	1	H
<i>Cirsium tuberosum</i> (L.) All.	Asteraceae	VU	5	Geo
<i>Coincya cheiranthos</i> (Vill.) Greuter & Burdet*	Brassicaceae	CR	3	Th/H
<i>Cytisus scoparius</i> (L.) Link	Fabaceae	LC	2	Ph
<i>Digitalis purpurea</i> L.*	Scrophulariaceae	NT	1	H
<i>Elatine hexandra</i> (Lapierre) DC.	Elatinaceae	CR	5	Hyd
<i>Galeopsis segetum</i> Neck.	Lamiaceae	EN	7	Th/H

**Table 5** continued

Taxon	Family	RL	EG	LF
<i>Galium saxatile</i> L.	Rubiaceae	CR	5	Ch
<i>Genista pilosa</i> L.	Fabaceae	VU	1	Ch
<i>Helleborus foetidus</i> L.	Ranunculaceae	LC	1	Ch
<i>Helleborus viridis</i> L.	Ranunculaceae	NT	1	H
<i>Hypericum pulchrum</i> L.	Hypericaceae	VU	1	H
<i>Hypericum</i> × <i>desetangii</i> Lamotte	Hypericaceae	LC	5	H
<i>Ilex aquifolium</i> L.	Aquifoliaceae	LC	1	Ph
<i>Isoëtes echinospora</i> Durieu	Isoëtaceae	RE	4	Hyd
<i>Linaria repens</i> (L.) Mill.	Scrophulariaceae	VU	7	H
<i>Linaria supina</i> (L.) Chaz.*	Scrophulariaceae	EN	3	Ch
<i>Lonicera periclymenum</i> L.	Caprifoliaceae	NT	1	Ph
<i>Lysimachia nemorum</i> L.	Primulaceae	LC	1	Ch
<i>Narcissus pseudonarcissus</i> L.	Amaryllidaceae	NT	2	Geo
<i>Ornithopus perpusillus</i> L.	Fabaceae	CR	7	Th/H
<i>Orobanche rapum-genistae</i> Thuill.	Orobanchaceae	NT	1	Geo
<i>Pedicularis sylvatica</i> L.	Scrophulariaceae	VU	5	H
<i>Pilularia globulifera</i> L.	Marsiliaceae	CR	5	Geo
<i>Pinguicula grandiflora</i> Lam.	Lentibulariaceae	EN	2	H
<i>Polygala calcarea</i> F. W. Schultz	Polygalaceae	EN	6	Ch
<i>Polygala serpyllifolia</i> Hosé	Polygalaceae	LC	5	Ch
<i>Potentilla sterilis</i> (L.) Garcke	Rosaceae	LC	1	H
<i>Primula acaulis</i> (L.) L.	Primulaceae	LC	1	H
<i>Pulmonaria montana</i> Lej.	Boraginaceae	NT	1	H
<i>Sagina subulata</i> (Sw.) C. Presl	Caryophyllaceae	EN	3	Ch
<i>Scrophularia auriculata</i> L.	Scrophulariaceae	CR	5	H
<i>Senecio aquaticus</i> Hill	Asteraceae	NT	5	H
<i>Teucrium scorodonia</i> L.	Lamiaceae	LC	1	Geo
Eury-Atlantic (1 sp.)				
<i>Rhynchospora fusca</i> (L.) W. T. Aiton	Cyperaceae	EN	5	H
Mediterranean–Atlantic (22 spp.)				
<i>Agropyron pungens</i> (Pers.) Roem. & Schult.	Poaceae	NT	3	Geo
<i>Asphodelus albus</i> Mill.	Liliaceae	VU	6	H
<i>Asplenium billotii</i> F. W. Schultz	Polypodiaceae	CR	3	H
<i>Asplenium foreziense</i> Magnier	Polypodiaceae	CR	3	H
<i>Baldellia ranunculoides</i> (L.) Parl.	Alismataceae	CR	4	Geo
<i>Blackstonia perfoliata</i> (L.) Huds.	Gentianaceae	VU	5	Th
<i>Carex punctata</i> Gaudin	Cyperaceae	NT	5	H
<i>Daphne laureola</i> L.	Thymelaeaceae	LC	1	Ph
<i>Filago gallica</i> L.	Asteraceae	RE	7	Th
<i>Fritillaria meleagris</i> L.	Liliaceae	EN	5	Geo
<i>Hedera helix</i> L.	Araliaceae	LC	1	Ph
<i>Helianthemum apenninum</i> (L.) Mill.	Cistaceae	VU	3	Ch
<i>Hypericum androsaemum</i> L.*	Hypericaceae	NT	1	Ch

**Table 5** continued

Taxon	Family	RL	EG	LF
<i>Iris foetidissima</i> L.*	Iridaceae	EN	6	Geo
<i>Luzula forsteri</i> (Sm.) DC.	Juncaceae	NT	1	H
<i>Ornithogalum pyrenaicum</i> L.	Liliaceae	NT	1	Geo
<i>Orobanche hederæ</i> Duby	Orobanchaceae	NT	1	Geo
<i>Ruscus aculeatus</i> L.	Liliaceae	LC	1	Ph
<i>Sedum rubens</i> L.	Crassulaceae	VU	3	Th
<i>Tamus communis</i> L.	Dioscoreaceae	LC	1	Geo
<i>Umbilicus rupestris</i> (Salisb.) Dandy	Crassulaceae	CR	3	Geo
<i>Verbascum pulverulentum</i> Vill.	Scrophulariaceae	EN	6	Th/H

\* Taxa that have spread in Switzerland since the year 1500. *EG* Ecological group affiliations (Moser et al. 2002): (1) woodland plants; (2) orophytes; (3) low-altitude pioneers; (4) aquatic plants; (5) wetland plants; (6) plants of low-nutrient meadows; (7) weeds or ruderals; (8) plants of nutrient-rich meadows. *LF* life forms (Raunkiaer 1934; adapted for Switzerland by Landolt 1977): *Ph* phanerophytes, *Ch* chamaephytes, *H* hemicryptophytes, *Geo* geophytes, *Hyd* hydrophytes, *Th* therophytes

## References

- Allorge P (1924) Etude sur la flore et la végétation de l'Ouest de la France. 1. A propos des espèces atlantiques de la flore française. Bull Soc Bot Fr 71:1183–1194
- Born J, Linder HP, Desmet P (2007) The greater cape floristic region. J Biogeogr 34:147–162. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01595.x
- Braun-Blanquet J (1923) L'Origine et le développement des flores dans le Massif central de France, avec aperçu sur les migrations des flores dans l'Europe sud-occidentale. Beer et Cie, Zürich
- Braun-Blanquet J (1928) Pflanzensoziologie—Grundzüge der Vegetationskunde. Springer, Berlin
- Braun-Blanquet J (1953) Irradiations européennes dans la végétation de la Kroumirie. Plant Ecol 4:182–194. doi:10.1007/BF00297018
- Brown JH, Lomolino MV (1998) Biogeography. Sinauer Press, Sunderland
- Cook CDK (1983) Aquatic plants endemic to Europe and the Mediterranean. Bot Jahrb Syst 103:539–582
- Crawford RMM (2000) Ecological hazards of oceanic environments. New Phytol 147:257–281. doi:10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00705.x
- Crawford RMM (2008) Plants at the margin: ecological limits and climate change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Czeczott H (1926) The Atlantic element in the flora of Poland. Bull Intern Acad Polonaise Série B Sci Nat 1926:361–407
- Dahl E (1998) The phytogeography of northern Europe (British Isles, Fennoscandia and adjacent areas). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Darimont F, Duvigneaud J, Lambinon J (1962) Le Massif Armoricain. Excursion de la Société Botanique de Liège. Lejeunia 9:1–63
- de Candolle AP (1808) Rapports sur des voyages botaniques et agronomiques dans les départements de l'Ouest et du Sud-Ouest de la France. Mémoires de la Société agronomique du département de la Seine 10:21–24
- des Abbayes H (1945) L'élément atlantique de la flore vasculaire armoricaine. Bull Soc Sci Bretagne 20:55–70
- Drude O (1892) Carte botanique de l'Europe occidentale. In: Massart J (ed) Esquisse de la géographie botanique de la Belgique. Recueil de l'Institut Botanique Léo Errera, Bruxelles, pp 1–332
- Duckworth JC, Bunce RGH, Malloch AJC (2000) Vegetation gradients in Atlantic Europe: the use of existing phytosociological data in preliminary investigations on the potential effects of climate change on British vegetation. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 9:187–199. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.2000.00161.x
- Dupont P (1962) La Flore Atlantique Européenne. Documents pour les cartes des productions végétales. Faculté des Sciences, Toulouse
- EEA (2005) Biogeographical regions. European Environmental Agency, Copenhagen

- ETCBD (2006) The indicative map of the European biogeographical regions: methodology and development. European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity, Paris
- Finnie TJR, Preston CD, Hill MO, Uotila P, Crawley MJ (2007) Floristic elements in European vascular plants: an analysis based on Atlas Florae Europaeae. *J Biogeogr* 34:1848–1872. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2699.2007.01750.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2007.01750.x)
- Flahault C (1901) La Flore et la végétation de la France. In: Coste H (ed) Flore descriptive et illustré de la France, de la Corse et des contrées limitrophes. A. Blanchard, Paris
- Fournier P (1946) Les quatre flores de la France. Corse comprise, Lechevalier
- Hegi G (1975) Illustrierte Flora von Mittel-Europa. Band V. Teil 3. Paul Parey, Berlin
- Hess HE, Landolt E, Hirzel R (1980) Flora der Schweiz und angrenzender Gebiete. Birkhäuser, Basel
- Hopkins JJ, Buck AL (1995) The habitats directive atlantic biogeographical region. Report of Atlantic biogeographical workshop. JNCC Report, No. 247, Edinburgh, Scotland, 13–14 October 1994, pp19–21
- ISFS (2005) Index synonymique de la flore Suisse et territoires limitrophes. Documenta Floristicae Helvetiae, No 2. CRSF/ZDSF, Genève
- IUCN (2001) IUCN red list categories and criteria: Version 3.1. Species Survival Commission, IUCN, Gland/Cambridge
- Jäger EJ (1968) Die pflanzengeographische Ozeanitätsgliederung der Holarktis und die Ozeanitätsbindung der Pflanzenareale. *Feddes Repert* 79:157–335
- Jäger EJ (1970) Charakteristische Typen mediterran-mitteleuropäischer Pflanzenareale. *Feddes Repert* 81:67–92
- Kozłowski G (2008) Is the global conservation status assessment of a threatened taxon a utopia? *Biodivers Conserv* 17:445–448. doi:[10.1007/s10531-007-9278-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-007-9278-z)
- Kozłowski G, Jones RA, Vuille-Nicholls F-L (2008) Biological flora of the Central Europe: *Baldellia ranunculoides* (Alismataceae). *Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst* 10:109–142. doi:[10.1016/j.ppees.2007.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2007.12.003)
- Krausch H-D (1985) Ozeanische Florenelemente in aquatischen Pflanzengesellschaften der D.D.R. *Vegetatio* 59:193–198. doi:[10.1007/BF00055690](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00055690)
- Landolt E (1977) Ökologische Zeigerwerte zur Schweizer Flora. Veröffentlichungen Geobotanischen Instituts ETH Zurich 64:1–208
- Lomolino MV, Channell R (1995) Splendid isolation: patterns of geographic range collapse in endangered mammals. *J Mammal* 76:335–347. doi:[10.2307/1382345](https://doi.org/10.2307/1382345)
- Lomolino MV, Channell R (1998) Range collapse, re-introductions, and biogeographic guidelines for conservation. *Conserv Biol* 12:481–484. doi:[10.1046/j.1523-1739.1998.97201.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.1998.97201.x)
- Lomolino MV, Heaney LR (eds) (2004) Frontiers of biogeography: new directions in the geography of nature. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland
- Matthews JR (1937) Geographical relationships of the British flora. *J Ecol* 25:1–90. doi:[10.2307/2256326](https://doi.org/10.2307/2256326)
- Meusel H, Jäger E, Weinert E (1965) Vergleichende Chorologie der zentraleröpanischen Flora, vol 1. Gustav Fischer, Jena
- Moser DM, Bäumler B, Gygax A, Palese R, Wyler N (2002) Rote Liste der gefährdeten Arten der Schweiz. Farn- und Blütenpflanzen. BUWAL, Bern
- Ojeda F, Arroyo J, Marañón T (1998) The phytogeography of European and Mediterranean heath species (Ericoideae, Ericaceae): a quantitative analysis. *J Biogeogr* 25:165–178. doi:[10.1046/j.1365-2699.1998.251141.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.1998.251141.x)
- Pietsch W (1985) Chorologische Phänomene in Wasserpflanzengesellschaften Mitteleuropas. *Vegetatio* 59:97–109. doi:[10.1007/BF00055679](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00055679)
- Preston CD (2007) Which vascular plants are found at the northern or southern edges of their European range in the British Isles? *Watsonia* 26:253–269
- Preston CD, Arnold HR (2006) The Mediterranean–Atlantic and Atlantic elements in the Cornish flora. In: Leach SJ, Page CN, Peytoureau Y, Sanford MN (eds) Botanical links in the Atlantic arc. BSBI/English Nature, London, pp 41–57
- Preston CD, Hill MO (1997) The geographical relationships of British and Irish vascular plants. *Bot J Linn Soc* 124:1–120
- Raunkiaer C (1934) The life-forms of plants and statistical plant geography. Oxford University Press, London
- Rivas-Martínez S (1990) Bioclimatology and biogeography of West Europe. Climate and global change. In: Duplessy VC, Poms A, Fantecli R(eds) Proceedings of European school of climatology and natural hazards, European Commission, Brussels, pp 225–246
- Roisin P (1969) Le domaine phytogéographique atlantique d'Europe. Editions J. Duculot, Gembloux
- Schmid E (1945) Die “atlantische” Flora, eine kritische Betrachtung. *Ber Geobot Inst Rubel* 1944:124–140

- Steffen H (1935) Beiträge zur Begriffsbildung und Umgrenzung einiger Florenelemente Europas. *Beih Bot Centrbl* 53:330–404
- Takhtajan A (1986) *Floristic regions of the world*. University of California Press, Berkeley
- Tutin TG, Burges NA, Chater AO, Edmondson JR, Heywood VH, Moore DM, Valentine DH, Walters SM, Webb DA (1993) *Flora Europaea*, 5 Vols. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Walter H (1954) *Arealkunde. Grundlagen der Pflanzenverbreitung, Teil II*. Ulmer, Stuttgart
- Welten M, Sutter R (1982) *Verbreitungsatlas der Farn- und Blütenpflanzen der Schweiz*. Birkhäuser, Basel
- Whittaker RJ, Araujo MB, Jepson P, Ladle RJ, Watson JEM, Willis KJ (2005) Conservation biogeography: assessment and project. *Divers Distrib* 11:3–23. doi:[10.1111/j.1366-9516.2005.00143.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1366-9516.2005.00143.x)